

O 41. SOWING METHODS INFLUENCE ON GRAIN YIELD AND ITS COMPONENTS OF SOME WHEAT CULTIVARS UNDER DIFFERENT FERTILIZER LEVES

El-Seidy E. H.¹, U. A. Abd El-Razek¹, A.A. Morad², M.A Habow³, T. M. Abd Allah⁴

¹*Department of Agronomy, Fac. of Agric., Tanta University.*

²*Wheat Research Depart., Field Crops Research Inst., ARC.*

³*Department of Agronomy, Fac. of Agric., Aswan University*

⁴*Public Administration for Seed Production. EL- Gharbia.*

E-mail: *drsayed176@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT: Two field experiments were conducted at the Farm of Kuotor - EL- Gharbia Governorate during 2016/17 and 2017/18 growing seasons to study the effect of three sowing methods [Broadcasting on beds method, Drilling on beds method and Hills on beds method] and three seeding rates (45, 52,5 and 60 kg seeds/fad.) on growth and yield of three bread wheat cultivars (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Regarding hills sowing method was better for growing wheat plants and gradually increased grain yield/fad than drilling and broadcasting methods. Giza 171 variety gave the highest values No. of spikes/m², No. of grains/spike, 1000-grain weight, biological yield, grain yield and straw yield compared with the other varieties in the first and second seasons. planting by hills on bed method increased significantly No. of spikes/m², No. of grains/spike, 1000- grain weight/spike, biological yield, grain yield and straw yield compared with the other sowing methods in the first and second seasons. The highest values of no. of grains/spike, 1000-grain weight and grain yield were found with seed rate (45 kg/fad.), in both seasons. No. of spikes/m², biological yield, grain yield and straw yield were affected by the interaction between wheat varieties and planting methods with seeding rates in the first and second seasons except harvest index in the first season.

Keywords: Wheat Cultivars, Sowing Methods, Grain Yield and Its Components And Seeding Rates

1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat is considered the most important productive cereal crop in the World (Coventry *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, it has a strategic position with competition for many other crops produced in the world. This importance comes from its use principally in human food in many world countries especially Egypt, where it is used in bread production and also several food industries.

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, L.) has been considered the first strategic food crop for more than 7000 years in Egypt. It has maintained its position during that time as the basic staple food in urban areas and mixed with maize in rural areas for bread making. In addition, wheat straw is an important fodder (Gomaa, 1999).

Raising wheat production through increasing productivity and increasing the cultivated area is an important national target to minimize the gap between the Egyptian production and consumption. The total production of wheat reached at least 9 million tons annually (FAO, 2016); while, the annual consumption of wheat grains in Egypt is about 15 million tons. Increasing wheat yield per unit area can be achieved by breeding high yielding varieties or improving the cultural treatments of the crop. New wheat varieties were developed to maximize grain yield under favorable environmental conditions (high input conditions especially planting methods to save water supply and seeding rate).

Egypt imports above 5.5 million tons of wheat grains. Unless domestic wheat production increases, the deficit will increase due to the increased birth rate (about 2%) and present the high per-capita consumption which is estimated by 180 kg /year*. More than 3 million faddans are cultivated annually with wheat. The average productivity is about 2.7 ton/fad; where the recent high yielding wheat varieties have been cultivated.

Proceeding Book of ISESER 2023

Several investigators showed that wheat cultivars differed in yield and its components as well as chemical properties (Hassan, 2008, Ashmawy *et al*, 2010, Mehasen *et al*, 2015). Also (Zenhom *et al*, 2018) reported that wheat cultivars were varied significantly in plant height, No. spikes/m, seed index and grain yield/fed.

Optimum seeding rate may be of treatments main to increase wheat yield by improving yield components of wheat plants and applying the scientific recommendations in that respect.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out in the Middle of Nile Delta (the Private Farm of Kuotor - EL-Gharbia Governorate) during the two successive seasons of 2016/17 and 2017/18 to study the effect of three sowing methods and three seeding rates on growth, yield and yield components of three bread wheat cultivars (*Triticum aestivum* L.).

The experimental design was a split-split-plot design with three replications in both seasons. The main plot treatments were occupied by the three wheat cultivars, while the three sowing methods were assigned in the sub-plots and the three seeding rates in the sub-subplots. Sowing dates were 15th November in the first season and 20th November in the second one. The harvest area was 7.2 m², (2.4 width and 3m in length).

Soil chemical analysis

The soil of the experimental site of Kuotor is clay in texture and fairly uniform without distinct changes in texture. Soil samples were taken before sowing during the two seasons at soil depth of 0-30 cm and 30-60 cm. Some soil physical and chemical characteristics of the experimental site were determined and presented in Table (1).

The following data was recorded during the growing seasons at and after harvest as follows:

A. Growth characteristics:

A.1. Date of expulsion: Number of days from sowing to the date when 50% of spikes complete emergence from flag leaf of the plot.

A.2. Flag leaf area, FLA (cm)²: Mean areas of flag leaves of ten random leaves within each plot were separated and their green area were measured using a LI-3100 (LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) leaf area meter, according to Watson *et al.*(1963).

FLA= (leaf length x maximum width of flag leaf x 0.75) at 125 days.

A.3. Date of maturity: Number of days from sowing to date when 50% of spikes and top of the peduncles turned yellow of the plot.

A.4. Plant height (cm.): Plant length from the soil surface to the tip of the spikes, excluding awns.

B-Yield and its components:

At harvest, ten wheat plants were chosen at random from each plot to study the following characters:

B.1. Spike length (cm.): Ten main spikes were chosen; their average was calculated to express spike length in cm.

B.2. Number of spikes/m²: Number of fertile tillers/m² was calculated by counting all spikes per square meter.

B.3. Number of kernels/spike: It was counted as an average number of grains collected per spike.

B.4. 1000-grain weight (g): A random sample of 1000-grains was taken from each plot, hand counted and weighted.

B.5. Grain weight /spike (g): Average number of grains of ten randomly chosen spikes and weighted.

B.6. Grain yield (ardab/fad): Recorded for the harvested area after threshing and then converted to ardab/fad (One ardab = 150 kg on the basic of 14.5% moisture content and one faddan = 4200 m²).

B.7. Straw yield (ton/fad.): Determined as the difference between biological and grain yield of sub plot in terms of kg/plot and converted to ton/fad.

Proceeding Book of ISESER 2023

- B.8. Biological yield (ton/fad.): It was recorded for the harvested area and converted to ton/fad.
B.9. Harvest index (HI): It was recorded as a ratio of grain yield to the total biological yield.
 $HI = (\text{Grain yield} / \text{Biological yield}) \times 100$

Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to the proper statistical analysis as the technique of analysis of variance (ANOVA) of split-split plot design as mentioned by Gomez and Gomez (1984). Treatment means were compared using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test as outlined by Waller and Duncan (1969).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A- wheat cultivars:

Results in Tables (2) and (3) showed that Giza 171 gave the highest on heading date, flag leaf area, FLA (cm)², date of maturity, plant height (cm.), spike length (cm.) and grain yield, while, the wheat cultivar Shandaweel 1 recorded the lowest values in both seasons. This result due to it's a genetic character specific to the cultivar and the differences may be due to variability among the wheat cultivars under study which considered adequate for further biometrical assessment. These results are in harmony with those obtained by El- Samahy (2009), Rahman et al., (2010), El- Hag (2012), El- Hag (2015), El-Seidy et al., (2016) and Wogene and Anjulo (2017).

B- Sowing methods:

Sowing methods had significantly effect on heading date, flag leaf area, FLA (cm)², date of maturity, plant height (cm.), spike length (cm.) and grain yield in both seasons, as shown as in Tables (2) and (3).

The hills on bed sowing method recorded the highest flag leaf area, plant height (cm.), spike length (cm.) and grain yield, while, the broadcasting on bed method recorded the highest values on heading date and date of maturity in both seasons. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Wang et al., (2011), Ali et al., (2012), Genedy (2014), El-Hag (2015), Abdul Razaq et al., (2016), El-Seidy et al., (2016) and Singh et al., (2017).

C- Seeding rates:

Regarding the effect seeding rates on heading date, flag leaf area, FLA (cm)², date of maturity, plant height (cm.), spike length (cm.) and grain yield was highly significant in both seasons, as shown as in Tables (2) and (3).

The highest values of heading date, date of maturity and plant height (cm.) were found with seed rates 60 kg/fad in both seasons, while, the lowest values were recorded from using 45 kg/fad in both seasons. On another hand added 45 kg seed/fad. recorded the highest flag leaf area, FLA (cm)², spike length (cm.) and grain yield in both seasons. These results are in agreement with Avijit-Sen et al. (2003), Boyd et al., (2009), Laghari et al., (2011), Gross et al., (2012), Javaid Iqbal et al., (2012), Said et al., (2012), May et al., (2014), Naveed et al., (2014), Al-Hilfy and Wahid (2017) and Tadesse et al., (2017).

D- Interaction:

Results indicated that sowing methods and seeding rates significantly affected in all the studied characters, as shown as in Tables (2) and (3).

The interactions between cultivars and sowing methods (C x M) was highly significant concerning with flag leaf area and grain yield in both seasons as shown as in Tables (2) and (3). While the interaction between cultivars and sowing methods on heading date, date of maturity, plant height (cm.), spike length (cm.) was not significant in both seasons. These results are in full agreement with those of Soomro et al., (2009) and Kiliç (2010).

The interaction between cultivars and seeding rates (C x S) on flag leaf area, date of maturity and grain yield was highly significant in both seasons, as shown as in Tables (2) and (3). While the interaction between cultivars and seeding rates on heading date, plant height and spike length (cm.) was not significant in both seasons. as shown as in Tables (2) and (3). These results are in full agreement with those of Soomro et al., (2009) and EL Hag (2016).

Proceeding Book of ISESER 2023

The interaction between sowing methods and seeding rates (M x S) on flag leaf area and grain yield (ardab/fad.) was highly significant in both seasons, as shown as in Tables (2) and (3). Effect interaction between sowing methods and seeding rates on date of maturity was significant in second season only, as shown in Table (2). While the interaction between sowing methods and seeding rates on heading date, plant height (cm.), spike length (cm.) was not significant in both seasons. These results are in a good accordance with those obtained by Balkaran (2011), El-Lattief (2011) and Tadesse et al., (2017).

The interaction between cultivars, sowing methods and seeding rates (C x M x S) on flag leaf area, date of maturity and grain yield (ardab/fad.) was highly significant in both seasons, as shown as in Tables (2) and (3), while, heading date, plant height (cm.), spike length (cm.) was not significant in both seasons.

Table (1): Physical and some chemical properties of the experimental soil during 2016/17 and 2017/18 season.

Properties	2016/17	2017/18
<u>Mechanical analysis :</u>		
Sand %	22.73	21.95
Silt %	31.50	31.85
Clay %	45.80	46.17
Soil texture	Clay	Clay
<u>Chemical analysis :</u>		
pH	8.30	8.05
Ec dS / m	2.30	2.34
O.M %	1.80	1.85
Available N (mg/kg)	31.80	30.79
Available P (mg/kg)	7.01	6.01
Available K (mg/kg)	119.00	121.02
Available Zn (mg/kg)	0.21	0.27
<u>Cation (meq / L) :</u>		
Ca ⁺⁺	12.85	13.04
Mg ⁺⁺	10.23	11.85
Na ⁺	42.08	41.22
K ⁺	51.37	53.07
<u>Anion (meq / L) :</u>		
CO ₃ ⁻	0.02	0.05
HCO ₃ ⁻	2.87	2.93
Cl ⁻	62.57	63.45
SO ₄ ⁻	49.88	51.07

Proceeding Book of ISESER 2023

Table (2): Effect of cultivars, sowing methods, seeding rates and their interactions on heading date (day), flag leaf area, FLA (cm)² and date of maturity (day) at harvest in 2016/17 and 2017/18 seasons.

Characters Treatments	Heading date (day)		Flag leaf area, FLA (cm) ²		Date of maturity (day)	
	Season 2016/17	Season 2017/18	Season 2016/17	Season 2017/18	Season 2016/17	Season 2017/18
A: Cultivars (C)						
Shandaweel 1	91.78	87.44	48.83	43.54	136.15	130.11
Gemmeiza 11	94.11	90.33	50.79	45.41	139.59	132.85
Giza 171	96.33	92.56	52.98	47.62	140.07	135.00
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD at 0.01	2.88	2.55	1.15	1.27	0.98	1.17
B: Sowing methods (M)						
Hills	94.00	89.33	60.81	55.53	137.56	131.70
Drilling	91.22	87.11	48.16	42.79	134.82	128.82
Broadcasting	97.00	93.89	43.62	38.26	143.44	137.44
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD at 0.01	1.60	1.61	0.75	0.81	2.93	2.94
C: Seeding rates (S)						
45 Kg/fad.	91.00	86.44	53.95	48.68	135.37	129.37
52.5 Kg/fad.	94.00	90.22	51.24	45.87	138.19	132.30
60 Kg/fad.	97.22	93.67	47.40	42.03	142.26	136.30
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD at 0.01	0.80	0.81	0.35	0.37	0.80	0.75
D: Interactions effects						
C x M	NS	NS	**	**	NS	NS
C x S	NS	NS	**	**	**	**
M x S	NS	NS	**	**	NS	*
C x M x S	NS	NS	**	**	**	**

*and ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of Probability, respectively, while NS means non-Significant.

Proceeding Book of ISESER 2023

Table (3): Effect of cultivars, sowing methods, seeding rates and their interactions on plant height (cm.), spike length (cm.) and grain yield (ardab/fad.) at harvest in 2016/17 and 2017/18 seasons.

Characters Treatments	Plant height (cm.)		Spike length (cm.)		Grain yield (ardab/fad.)	
	Season 2016/17	Season 2017/18	Season 2016/17	Season 2017/18	Season 2016/17	Season 2017/18
A: Cultivars (C)						
Shandaweel 1	96.11	93.63	12.74	12.46	19.70	17.13
Gemmeiza 11	100.67	99.26	13.37	13.09	21.66	18.32
Giza 171	104.74	103.15	14.52	14.17	22.41	19.15
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD at 0.01	2.24	2.97	0.50	0.71	0.19	0.23
B: Sowing methods (M)						
Hills	106.70	104.15	15.13	14.82	22.41	19.76
Drilling	102.15	99.15	13.32	12.98	21.66	18.39
Broadcasting	92.67	92.74	12.19	11.93	19.70	16.44
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD at 0.01	1.54	1.75	0.57	0.66	0.12	0.12
C: Seeding rates (S)						
45 Kg/fad.	96.11	94.74	14.57	14.24	21.68	18.58
52.5 Kg/fad.	100.59	98.52	13.52	13.26	21.10	18.11
60 Kg/fad.	104.82	102.78	12.54	12.22	20.99	17.90
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD at 0.01	1.43	1.55	0.34	0.39	0.11	0.12
D: Interactions effects						
C x M	NS	NS	NS	NS	**	**
C x S	NS	NS	NS	NS	**	**
M x S	NS	NS	NS	NS	**	**
C x M x S	NS	NS	NS	NS	**	**

*and ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of Probability, respectively, while NS means non-Significant.

REFERENCES

- Abdul Razaq, M. J. K.; T. Sarwar and M. J. Khan (2016). Effect of deficit irrigation, sowing methods and mulching on wheat yield and nitrogen uptake, *Pakistan J. Agric. Res.* 29(3): 222-228.
- Al-Hilfy, H. H. Intsar and S. A. Wahid (2017) Seeding rates influence on growth and straw yield of some bread wheat cultivars and their relationship with accumulated heat units. *American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture.* 11(5): 49-58.
- Ali, M.; L. Ali; M. Q. Waqar and M. A. Ali (2012). Bed planting: A new crop establishment method for wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, L.) *Int. J. Agric. Appl. Sci.*, 4(1): 834-841.
- Ashmawy, F.; M. S. El-Habal; H. S. Saoudy and Iman Kh. Abbas (2010). The relative contribution of yield components to grain yield of some wheat cultivars grown under different nitrogen fertilizer levels. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 88(1):225-239.

Proceeding Book of ISESER 2023

- Avijit-Sen.; M. D. Pandey; S. N. Sharma; R. K. Singh; Ajay-Kumar; Prakash-Shukla and V. K. Srivastava (2003). Surface seeding of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, L.), as affected by seed rate and nitrogen level. *Indian. J. Agric. Sci.*; 73(9): 509-511.
- Balkaran Singh; R. S. Uppal (2011). Interaction effect of sowing time, planting method and seed rate on performance of wheat variety PBW 550. *Environment and Ecology*, 29(3):1087-1090.
- Boyd, S. N; B. E. Brennan; F. R. Smith and R. Yokota (2009). Effect of seeding rate and planting arrangement on rye cover crop and weed growth. *Agronomy Journal*, 101 (1): 47-51.
- Coventry, D.R.; R.K. Gupta ; A. Yadav ; R.S. Poswal ; R.S. Chhokar and Cummins, J.A.(2011). Wheat quality and productivity as affected by varieties and sowing time in Haryana. *India F. Cr. Res.*, 123(3): 214-225.
- El- Hag, Dalia, A. A. (2012). Effect of planting date and nitrogen level on yield and quality of bread and durum wheat. Ph D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh Univ., Egypt.
- El Hag, D. A. A. (2016). Effect of seeding rates on yield and yield components of two bread wheat cultivars. *J. Agric. Res. Kafr El-Sheikh Univ.* 42(1) 71-81.
- El-Hag, Walaa, A.A. (2015). Morphological studies on bread wheat under different regimes and planting methods. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh Univ., Egypt.
- El-Lattief, E. A. A. (2011). Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) productivity and profitability as affected by method of sowing and seeding rate under Qena environment. *Asian Journal of Crop Science*, 3(4):188-196. 35 ref.
- El-Samahy, E. S. M. Basma (2009). Effect of nitrogen, potassium fertilizer on yield and component some wheat genotypes. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh Univ., Egypt.
- El-Seidy E. H., A. M. Moussa, U .A. Abd El-Razek and M. O. Al-Farouk (2016). Effect of irrigation deficit and sowing methods on growth characters and water requirements of some wheat cultivars. *Wheat Research Dept., Field Crops Research Inst., ARC*.
- F. A. O (2016). Food outlook biannual report on global food markets. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Genedy, M. S. A. (2014). Effect of some planting methods, nitrogen fertilization rates and irrigation on wheat grain yield. Ph D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt.
- Gomaa, A.S.A (1999). Wheat improvement in Egypt: History and future prospects. *Egypt. J. Plant Breeding*, 3(1): 1-14.
- Gomez, K. A and A. A. Gomez (1984). Chi-square test. Pages 458-477 in *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*. John Wiley and Sons. Toronto.
- Gross, T. F.; A. R. Dias; C. Kappes; L. M. Schiebelbein; J. L. Anselmo and H. V. Holanda (2012). Productive performance of wheat in different sowing methods and densities. [Portuguese] *Scientia Agraria Paranaensis*, 11(4):50-60.
- Hassan, Manal A. (2008). Effect of seeding rate and row spacing on productivity and resistance to powdery mildew of two bread wheat cultivars. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 23(10): 169-182.
- Javaid Iqbal; Khizer Hayat; Safdar Hussain; Anser Ali; Bakhsh, M. A. A. H. A. (2012). Effect of seeding rates and nitrogen levels on yield and yield components of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*; 2012. 11(7):531-536. 33.
- Kiliç, H. (2010). The effect of planting methods on yield and yield components of irrigated spring durum wheat varieties. *Scientific Research and Essays*. 5 (20), pp. 3063-3069.
- Laghari, G. M.; Oad, F. C.; Shamasuddin Tunio; Qamaruddin Chachar; Gandahi, A. W.; Siddiqui, M. H.; Syed Waseem-ul-Hassan; Abid Ali (2011). Growth and yield attributes of wheat at different seed rates. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*; 2011. 27(2):177-183. 33.
- May, W. E.; M.R. Fernandez; F. Selles and G.P. Lafond (2014). Agronomic practices to reduce leaf spotting and fusarium kernel infections in durum wheat on the Canadian Prairies. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 94(1):141-152.

Proceeding Book of ISESER 2023

- Mehasen, S.A.S.; Shima A. Badawy and S. Sh. Abdullah (2015). Influence of bio and mineral nitrogen fertilizers on productivity of some bread wheat varieties. *J. of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, 13 (2): 162-167.
- Naveed, K.; M.A. Khan; M.S. Baloch; K. Ali; M.A. Nadim; E.A. Khan; S. Shah and M. Arif (2014). Effect of different seeding rates on yield attributes of dual-purpose wheat. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, 30(1):83-91.
- Rahman, M. A.; S. J. Hossain; M. B. Hossain; M. R. Amin and K. K. Sarkar (2010). Effect of variety and cultural method on the yield and yield attributes of wheat. *Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod.* 5(3):17-21.
- Said, A.; H. Gul; B. Saeed; B. Haleema; N. L. Badshah and L. Parveen (2012). Response of wheat to different planting dates and seeding rates for yield and yield components. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*; 7(2):138-140.
- Singh, C. M. K. K.; S. Bahadur; N. S. Devra; P. Kumawat and A. Kumar (2017). Effect of sowing methods, scheduling of irrigation based on IW/CPE ratio and chemical weed control on plant height, dry matter accumulation and yield of wheat, *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*; 6(3): 169-172.
- Soomro, U. A.; M. Ur Rahman; E. A. Odhano; S. Gul and A. Tareen (2009). Effects of sowing method and seed rate on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *World J. Agric. Sci.* 5 (2):159-162.
- Tadesse, A.; T. Yoseph and M. Mitiku (2017). Effect of sowing methods and seed rate on yield of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, L.) at South Ari District, South Omo Zone, Snnpr, Ethiopia. *International J. of Research-Granthaalayah*, 5(6):175-180.
- Waller, R.A. and D.B. Duncan (1969). A bays rule for the symmetric multiple comparison problem. *Am. Stat. Assoc. J.*, 1485-1504.
- Wang, F.; L. Kong; K. Sayre; S. Li; J. Si; B. Feng and B. Zhang (2011). Morphological and yield responses of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, L.) to raised bed planting in Northern China. *African J. of Agri. Res.*, 6(13): 2991-2997.
- Watson, D.J.; G.N. Thorne and S.A.W. French (1963). Analysis of growth and yield of winter and spring wheats. *Ann. Bot. N. S.* 27(1):1-22.
- Wogene, S. and A. Anjulo (2017) Response of bread wheat varieties to different levels of nitrogen at Doyogena, Southern Ethiopia. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 7(2): 452-459.
- Zenhom, M. F. T; G. Y. Hammam and S. A. S. Mehasen (2018). Wheat lodging and yield in response to cultivars and foliar application of paclobutrazol. 4th International Conference on Biotechnology Applications in Agriculture (icbaa), 4-7 Hurgada, Egypt Invited Papers, 639-644.